

## Application of the Dempster-Shafer method in medical expert systems for disease diagnosis

Muhammad Fitrah Perdana Marsal<sup>a,1</sup>, Zayn Al Mahdi<sup>a,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Informatics Study Program, STMIK Widya Cipta Dharma, Samarinda, Indonesia

<sup>1</sup>2341024@wicida.ac.id; <sup>2</sup>2341035@wicida.ac.id

### Article Info

#### Article History:

Received: Feb 21, 2026

Revised: Feb 28, 2026

Accepted: Mar 12, 2026

#### Key Words:

Bayesian Evidence, Dempster-Shafer, Expert System, Medical Diagnosis, Uncertainty



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

### Abstrak

*The use of expert systems in medical field allows computers to replicate human diagnostic reasoning. Among the available uncertainty-based approaches, Dempster-Shafer theory of evidence provides a powerful probabilistic framework capable of combining incomplete and imprecise information for decision support. This article synthesizes three applied studies that employed the Dempster-Shafer method to diagnose Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura (ITP), Encephalitis and Periodontal Disease. Each implementation demonstrated that the Dempster-Shafer algorithm could quantify belief level in uncertain clinical evidence such as symptoms and laboratory results. Through successive evidence combination, the systems achieved diagnostic confidence levels between 92%-97% across cases. Collectively these findings reaffirm that Dempster-Shafer reasoning can enhance diagnostic reliability compared to deterministic or rule-based systems by explicitly managing uncertainty and integrating multi-source medical data.*

## 1. Introduction

Disease diagnosis is a critical process in the medical field because accurate and early identification of diseases can significantly improve treatment effectiveness and reduce the risk of severe complication [1]. However, medical diagnosis often involves uncertainty due to incomplete symptom information, overlapping clinical indication and differences in interpretation among medical practitioners [2]. These challenges make conventional diagnosis methods highly dependent on expert experience and can lead to delayed or inaccurate conclusions. Therefore the development of intelligent decision support system capable of handling uncertainty become increasingly important in modern healthcare.

One of the artificial intelligence approaches widely implemented in medical diagnosis is the expert system [3]. Expert systems are computer based systems designed to emulate the reasoning process of human experts by utilizing a knowledge base and inference mechanism to solve specific problem [4]. In healthcare domain, expert system have to demonstrated significant potential in assisting both medical personnel and the general public in identifying diseases based on observed symptom [5]. Their ability to process large amount of clinical evidence systematically enable faster and more consistent diagnostic support.

Among various uncertainty handling method the Dempster-Shafer theory offer a powerful mathematical framework for reasoning under uncertainty [6]. This method combines multiple pieces of evidence and calculated a degree of belief for each possible hypothesis [7]. Unlike classical probabilistic approaches, Dempster-Shafer explicitly represent uncertainty through belief and plausibility values, allowing diagnostic system to manage incomplete or ambiguous symptom information more effectively [8]. This characteristic makes it particularly suitable for medical diagnosis where patient symptoms are often uncertain or partially observed.

Several previous studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of the Dempster-Shafer method in diagnosing various diseases. Research on Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) showed that Dempster-Shafer successfully combines uncertain clinical indicators to support precise diagnosis [9]. Similarly, studies on encephalitis diagnosis proved that the method could determine disease certainty values with high confidence based on symptom combination. In periodontal disease diagnosis, the implementation of Dempster-Shafer achieved strong diagnostic accuracy by evaluating belief values derived from expert-defined rules [10]. These findings indicate that the method performs consistently across different medical domains and supports reliable decision-making.

The main usefulness of the Dempster-Shafer method in disease diagnosis lies in its capability to integrate uncertain evidence from multiple symptoms and produce a measurable confidence level for diagnostic outcomes [5]. This enables medical decision support systems to provide recommendations that are not only systematic but also transparent in expressing uncertainty [11]. By applying this approach, diagnostic systems can reduce ambiguity, improve consistency, and assist in early disease detection.

Based on the consideration, this study aims to analyze and synthesize the application of the Dempster-Shafer method in an expert system for disease diagnosis. The discussion focuses on how the method in an expert system for disease diagnosis contributes to improving diagnostic accuracy and reliability in a medical expert system, particularly for diseases such as Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura, Encephalitis, and Periodontal Disease.

## **2. Research Methodology**

This study applies a qualitative literature synthesis approach by analyzing and integrating findings from previous studies related to the implementation of the Dempster-Shafer method in a medical expert system. The purpose of this method is to examine how Dempster-Shafer contributes to handling uncertainty in disease diagnosis and to identify its effectiveness across different medical case studies, namely Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), Encephalitis, and periodontal disease [12].

### **2.1 Research framework**

The research framework consists of several systematic stages designed to evaluate the role of Dempster-Shafer in a medical diagnosis system [13]. The stages are as follows:

1. **Problem Identification:** the first stage identifies challenges in medical diagnosis, particularly uncertainty caused by incomplete symptom information, overlapping clinical indications, and subjective interpretation by medical practitioners.
2. **Literature Data Collection:** relevant scientific articles discussing the implementation of Dempster-Shafer in disease diagnosis were collected as the primary data source. The selected studies represent different disease categories to provide a broader analytical perspective.
3. **Knowledge Extraction:** clinical knowledge, symptom data, belief values, and diagnostic rules were extracted from each selected study. This stage focuses on identifying how expert knowledge is represented within each expert system.
4. **Method Analytic:** the Dempster-Shafer reasoning process used in each study was analyzed, including evidence combination, belief function assignment, and plausibility calculation.
5. **Comparative Evaluation:** the diagnostic performance of each implementation was compared to evaluate consistency, reliability, and practical benefit in disease diagnosis.
6. **Conclusion Formalization:** final conclusions were derived regarding the usefulness of Dempster-Shafer in supporting a medical expert system.
7. **DiabetesPedigreeFunction:** A function that scores the likelihood of diabetes based on family history.
8. **Age:** Age of the patient (years).

The Outcome label has two classes: a value of 0 for non-diabetic patients and a value of 1 for diabetic patients. This dataset was selected because it is frequently used in diabetes classification research and contains attributes relevant to medical analysis.

## 2.2 Rexpert System Architecture

The expert system analyzed in this study generally consist of four main component:

- Knowledge Base: store disease symptoms, disease categories and expert defined rules.
- Inference Engine: perform reasoning using the dempster shafer algorithm to combine multiple evidence source.
- User Interface: allow user to input sypmptom experienced by patient.
- Decision Support Output: display diagnostic result along with confidence values indicating the certainty level of each disease hypothesis.

This architecture enable the system to stimulate expert reasoning and provide recommendation based on symptom combination.

## 2.3 Dempster Shafer Method

Dempster shafer method is used as the main reasoning mechanism to manage uncertainty in diagnosis. This theory represent uncertainty using belief (Bel) and plausibility (PI) function:

$$Bel(X) = \sum_{Y \subseteq X} m(Y)$$

Where:

- Bel(X) = belief value for hypothesis X
- M(Y) = mass value assigned to evidence Y

Plausibility function is calculated as:

$$Pl(X) = 1 - Bel(\bar{X})$$

To combine multiple evidence values, dempster rule of combination is applied:

$$m_3(Z) = \frac{\sum_{X \cap Y = Z} m_1(X)m_2(Y)}{1 - \sum_{X \cap Y = \emptyset} m_1(X)m_2(Y)}$$

This formula allow evidence from several symptoms to be integrated into a single confidence values for disease diagnosis.

## 2.4 Data representation

The diagnostic process uses symptom data represented as evidence with associated belief values assigned by medical expert.

The general structure includes:

- Symptom Code: Unique identifier for each symptom.
- Symptom Description: Clinical indication experienced by the patient.
- Balief Value: Expert confidence score assigned to each symptom.
- Disease Hypothesis: Possible disease associated with the symptom

The belief values are combined iteratively until a final certainty value is obtaned.

## 2.5 Model Evaluation Scenario

The diagnostic process follows these steps:

- A. User selects symptom experienced by the patient.
- B. The system retrieves corresponding belief values from the knowledge base.
- C. Evidence is combined sequentially using Dempster combination rule.
- D. The final belief values are calculated for all disease hypotheses.
- E. Disease with the highest confidence value is selected as the diagnosis result.
- F. The system displays the diagnosis along with certainty percentage.

This process allows the expert system to generate transparent and measurable diagnostic decisions even when clinical evidence is uncertain.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Knowledge Base Construction

The knowledge base is a fundamental component of the expert system because it stores all diagnostic knowledge obtained from medical experts and previous studies. In this research synthesis, the knowledge base consists of symptom data, disease categories, and belief values associated with each symptom. For Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura (ITP), the knowledge base includes symptoms such as excessive fatigue, nosebleeds, unexplained bruising, gum bleeding, and prolonged bleeding. In encephalitis diagnosis, the knowledge base contains neurological symptoms such as high fever, hallucinations, emotional instability, seizures, and body stiffness. For periodontal disease, the knowledge base includes oral symptoms such as swollen gums, bleeding gums, bad breath, gum recession, and tooth mobility. The quality of the knowledge base directly affects the accuracy of diagnosis because belief values assigned by experts serve as the primary evidence source for the Dempster-Shafer reasoning process.

### 3.2 Symptom Representation and Belief Assignment

Each symptom is represented as evidence with an associated belief value indicating the degree of confidence that the symptom supports a specific disease hypothesis. The belief values are assigned based on expert assessment and clinical observation; the confidence scale generally ranges from low certainty to high certainty.

For example:

- Mild symptom indication → Low belief value.
- Moderate symptom indication → Medium belief value.
- Strong clinical indication → High belief value.

This representation allows the expert system to quantify uncertainty mathematically rather than relying on deterministic yes-or-no reasoning. The assignment of belief values is essential because it forms the basis for evidence combination during diagnosis.

### 3.3 Diagnostic Evidence Combination Process

The diagnostic reasoning process begins when the user selects a symptom experienced by the patient. The system then:

- Retrieves the corresponding belief values.
- Converts symptom evidence into mass functions.
- Combines evidence iteratively using the Dempster combination rule.
- Produces updated belief values after each combination.
- Determines the disease hypothesis with the highest final confidence value.

This iterative evidence combination enables the system to integrate multiple uncertain symptom observations into a single measurable diagnostic result. The process is particularly useful in cases where no single symptom is sufficient to confirm a diagnosis independently.

### 3.4 Diagnostic Result For Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura

The implementation of Dempster Shafer in diagnosing Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura demonstrated strong capability in combining uncertain clinical evidence. Symptom such as:

- Excessive Fatigue.
- Nosebleed.
- Gum Bleeding.
- Pale Skin.
- Unexplained Bruising.

Were processed to calculate cumulative belief values. The system successfully identified ITP risk by combining symptom evidence assigning confidence level that support medical decision making. This demonstrated that Dempster Shafer is effective for blood disorder diagnosis where symptom overlap with other disease often create uncertainty.

### 3.5 Diagnostic Result For Encephalitis

Encephalitis expert system produced one of the strongest confidence result among the analyzed studies. By processing neurological symptom such as:

- High fever.
- Hallucinating.
- Emotionally Instability.
- Muscle Weakness.
- Body Stiffness.

The system generated a diagnostic confidence value of 99.4% for herpes simplex encephalitis diagnosis. This result indicate that Dempster Shafer perform exceptionally well when strong symptom relationship exist within the knowledge base. The high certainty value demonstrated affective evidence fusion and accurate pattern matching between symptom and disease hypotheses.

### 3.6 Diagnostic Result For Periodontal Disease

The periodontal disease system also showed high diagnostic performance. The system evaluated oral health symptom including:

- Swollen Gum.
- Bleeding during brushing
- Gum inflammation
- Tooth Mobility.
- Gum Recession.

The implementation achieved a diagnostic accuracy of 92.86% The result confirm that Dempster Shafer is not limited to systematic diseases but is equally effective for localized disease diagnosis. The method ability to process overlapping oral symptom contribute significantly to accurate disease classification.

### 3.7 Comparative Analysis Across Disease Cases

Across all three implementation the Dempster Shafer approach consistently delivered accuracy level above 90%, comparable to or exceeding result from other probabilistic systems. This reliability stem from Dempster Shafer capability to integrated both certainty in evidence weighting rather than forcing deterministic logic.

### 3.8 Discussion on the Utility of Dempster Shafer in Medical Diagnosis

Overall analysis confirm several important advantage of Dempster Shafer in disease diagnosis. First it effectively handle uncertainty partial belief representation. Second it combines multiple pieces of evidence mathematically and objectively. Third it produce transparent confidence value that can assist medical interpretation. Fourth it improves consistency in diagnostic reasoning compared to manual assessment. Despite these advantage several limitation remain:

- Dependence on expert defined belief values.
- Sensitivity to incomplete knowledge base design.
- Need for broader validation dataset.

Nevertheless, the result demonstrated that Dempster Sshafer is highly valuable for expert system because it enhance diagnostic reliability, support early detection and provides measurable confidence level for decision support.

### 4. Conclusion

Based on the analysis of the implementation of the Dempster Shafer method in expert system for disease diagnosis, it can be concluded that this method provides significant advantage in handling uncertainty during the diagnostic process. The Dempster Shafer theory enables the combination of multiple symptom based evidence and produces measurable confidence values that support accurate and reliable medical decision making. The synthesis of three disease cases namely Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura (ITP), Encephalitis and Periodontal Disease, demonstrated that Dempster Shafer perform consistently across different medical domain. The method successfully processes uncertain and incomplete symptom information to produce diagnostic outcomes with high confidence level. In encephalitis diagnosis, the system achieved a confidence value of 99.4%, while in periodontal disease diagnosis it reached an accuracy of 92.86%, indicating strong effectiveness in evidence-based reasoning.

The main usefulness of Dempster-Shafer lies in its ability to represent uncertainty explicitly through belief and plausibility functions. This capability allows expert systems to evaluate multiple possible disease hypotheses systematically and objectively. Compared to conventional deterministic diagnostic methods, Dempster Shafer provides a more flexible and transparent reasoning mechanism that is better suited to medical environments where uncertainty is unavoidable. Furthermore, the method contributes to improving consistency in diagnosis, supporting early disease detection, and assisting healthcare professionals in clinical decision support. The integration of Dempster-Shafer into medical expert systems can also increase public accessibility to preliminary health consultation services.

However the effectiveness of the method depends heavily on the completeness of the knowledge base and the accuracy of belief values assigned by medical experts. Therefore, future research should focus on expanding clinical datasets, improving knowledge representation, and integrating larger-scale validation to further enhance diagnostic reliability. In conclusion the Dempster-Shafer method is highly suitable for medical expert systems and has proven to be an effective approach for improving disease diagnosis accuracy under uncertain conditions. Its application has strong potential for future intelligent healthcare systems development.

### References

- [1] N. A. Baghdadi *et al.*, "Advanced machine learning techniques for cardiovascular disease early detection and diagnosis," *J. Big Data*, vol. 10, no. 144, pp. 1–29, 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-023-00817-1>.
- [2] L. Arya, N. S. Lavudiya, G. Sateesh, H. Padmanaban, B. V Srinivasulu, and R. Rastogi, "Fuzzy Logic-Driven Machine Learning Algorithms for Improved Early Disease Diagnosis," *Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl.*, vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 108–114, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2024.0151111>.
- [3] A. Saibene, M. Assale, and M. Giltri, "Expert systems: Definitions, advantages and issues in medical

- field applications,” *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 177, p. 114900, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.114900>.
- [4] E. M. Mustafa, M. M. Saad, and L. W. Rizkallah, “Building an enhanced case - based reasoning and rule - based systems for medical diagnosis,” *J. Eng. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 70, no. 139, pp. 1–12, 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s44147-023-00315-4>.
- [5] B. Malmir, M. Amini, and S. I. Chang, “A medical decision support system for disease diagnosis under uncertainty,” *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 88, pp. 95–108, 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2017.06.031>.
- [6] T. H. Thuy, H. M. Toan, V. T. Lam, and N. H. Phuong, “SYNDIAG : an expert system for disease syndrome diagnosis of traditional Vietnamese medicine,” *Telecommun. Comput. Electron. Control Vol.*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1167–1177, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.12928/TELKOMNIKA.v22i5.25831>.
- [7] G. P. Amaya Cruz and G. Beliakov, “On the interpretation of certainty factors in expert systems,” *Artif. Intell. Med.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 1996, doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0933-3657\(95\)00016-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0933-3657(95)00016-X).
- [8] N. Yanti, F. Insani, R. H. Zain, and A. Setiawan, “Comparative Analysis : Accuracy of Certainty Factor and Dempster Shafer Methods in Expert Systems for Tropical Disease Diagnosis,” *Sci. J. Informatics*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 515–524, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.15294/sji.v12i3.28047>.
- [9] I. Susilawati and R. Yuni Simanullang, “Sistem Pakar untuk Mengidentifikasi Penyakit ITP ( Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura ) melalui Pendekatan,” *J. Ilmu Komput. dan Teknol. Inf.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 17–24, 2023.
- [10] M. A. Ridwan, A. Maulana, A. Rahman Syahputera, and M. Ulfani, “Sistem Pakar Diagnosa Penyakit Periodontal Menggunakan Metode Dempster-Shafer,” *J. Simetris*, vol. 11, no. 2, 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.24176/simet.v11i2.5322>.
- [11] A. O. Puspita Dewi, “Bibliometric Analysis on Covid-19 Research Publications,” *J. Sist. Inf. Bisnis*, vol. 03, pp. 469–472, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.21456/vol15iss4pp465-468>.
- [12] T. Herz, F. Hamel, F. Uebernickel, and W. Brenner, “A Multisourcing Maturity Model as an IT Governance Mechanism for Business Groups,” *IJITBAG*, vol. 2, pp. 1–14, Jun. 2011, doi: [10.4018/jitbag.2011070101](https://doi.org/10.4018/jitbag.2011070101).
- [13] M. I. Khan, H. A. Haq, K. Ullah, M. Arshad, and A. Majid, “Genetic Diversity and Correlation Studies for Cotton Leaf Curl Disease ( CLCuD ), Fiber & Yield Related Attributes in Exotic Lines of *Gossypium arboreum* L .,” *Am. J. Plant Sci.*, vol. 8, pp. 615–624, 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2017.83042>.